A checklist for HTA reports

This summary form is intended as an aid in recording of the extent to which a health technology assessment (HTA) report meets the 15 questions given in the checklist. To be completed and sent to the INAHTA Secretariat by email (secretariat@inahta.org).

It is NOT intended as a scorecard to rate the standard of HTA reports – reports may be valid and useful without meeting all the criteria that have been listed.

An introduction, background, objective, context of material in the HTA checklist, etc is available at www.inahta.org/HTA/Checklist.

Reference of report	**HPV URINE TEST FOR CERVICAL CANCER SCREENING**
Organization (acronym)	MaHTAS
Name of person completing the checklist	SYFUL AZLIE MD FUZI
Email	syful.azlie@moh.gov.my

Item	**Yes**	**Partly**	**No**
Preliminary			
1. Appropriate contact details for further information?	√		
2. Authors identified?	√		
3. Statement regarding conflict of interest?	√		
4. Statement on whether report externally reviewed?	√		
5. Short summary in non-technical language?			√
Why?			
6. Reference to the policy question that is addressed?	√		
7. Reference to the research question that is addressed?	√		
8. Scope of the assessment specified?	√		
9. Description of the assessed health technology?	√		

How?

10. Details on sources of information and literature search strategies provided?

Search strategy	Databases	Year range	Language restriction	Primary data	Other kind of information resources
√	√	√	√	√	√

Complete reference list of included studies	List of excluded studies	Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria
√	√	√	√

11. Information on basis for the assessment and interpretation of selected data and information?

Method of data extraction described?	Critical appraisal method (for quality assessment of the literature) described?	Method of data synthesis described?	Results of the assessment clearly presented, eg, in the form of evidence tables?
√	√	√	√

Context?

12. Information on context *(may or may not apply to each HTA).*

(Medico-) legal implications considered?	Economic analysis provided?	Ethical implications considered?	Social implications considered?	Other perspectives (stakeholders, patients, consumers) considered?
-	-	-	-	-

What then?	**Yes**	**Partly**	**No**
13. Findings of the assessment discussed?	√		
14. Conclusions from assessment clearly stated?	√		
15. Suggestions for further action?	√		

Copyright INAHTA Secretariat 2007